arXiv:1607.01592v1 [math.AP] 6 Jul 2016

Global existence for a 3D non-stationary Stokes flow with Coulomb's type friction boundary conditions

Mahdi Boukrouche and Laetitia Paoli*

Abstract

In this paper we study non stationary viscous incompressible fluid flows with nonlinear boundary slip conditions given by a subdifferential property of friction type. More precisely we assume that the tangential velocity vanishes as long as the shear stress remains below a threshold $\mathcal{F}$, that may depend on the time and the position variables but also on the stress tensor, allowing to consider Coulomb's type friction laws. An existence and uniqueness theorem is obtained first when the threshold $\mathcal{F}$ is a data and sharp estimates are derived for the velocity and pressure fields as well as for the stress tensor. Then an existence result is proved for the non-local Coulomb's friction case by using a successive approximation technique with respect to the shear stress threshold.

Keywords: Stokes system, generalized dry friction, Tresca's friction law, Coulomb's friction law, history-dependent boundary condition, approximation and existence.

AMS : 76D03,76D07, 35K86, 49J40, 35A35

1 Introduction

In the study of fluid flow, it is usually assumed that the fluid sticks to the boundary of the flow domain, leading to the so-called no-slip boundary condition. Such a behaviour has been mathematically justified by considering the microscopic asperities of the boundary (see [26, 5, 6, 7, 4]). Unfortunately experiments show that more complex boundary conditions may occur, especially in the case of non-wetting, hydrophobic or chemically patterned surfaces ([1, 2, 33, 35, 20]), leading to linear slip conditions of Navier type ([23, 3, 34]) or nonlinear slip conditions of friction type when the tangential fluid velocity does not vanish only when a threshold is reached ([24]). This last class of boundary conditions has been considered first for Bingham fluids in [21]. Then they have been introduced for incompressible Newtonian fluid flows by H.Fujita during his lectures at Collège de France in 1993 ([14]) and subsequently studied by H.Fujita who proved existence and uniqueness for the stationary Stokes problem and by N.Saito who established some regularity properties for the solutions ([15, 17, 30]). See also [19] for shape optimization issues.

*Lyon University, F-42023 Saint-Etienne, Institut Camille Jordan CNRS UMR 5208, 23 rue Paul Michelon 42023 Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France. Corresponding author: laetitia.paoli@univ-st-etienne.fr

More precisely they consider boundary conditions given by a subdifferential property, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{l} v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0 \text{ (no-slip condition on } \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0), \\ v_n = 0, \quad -\sigma_t \in \mathcal{F}\partial\big(|v_t|\big) \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \text{ (slip condition on } \Gamma_0) \end{array}$$

where the boundary of the flow domain is splitted into $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0)$, $\mathcal{F}$ is a given positive function on Γ_0, $\partial\big(|\cdot|\big)$ is the subdifferential of the function $|\cdot|$, v_n, v_t and σ_t are the normal component of the velocity, the tangential component of the velocity and the shear stress respectively. By using the definition of the subdifferential of a convex function ([27]), we may rewrite the boundary condition on Γ_0 as

$$v_n = 0, \quad |\sigma_t| \leq \mathcal{F} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} |\sigma_t| < \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow v_t = 0, \\ |\sigma_t| = \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow \exists \lambda \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } v_t = -\lambda\sigma_t \end{array} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

which can be interpreted as a Tresca's friction condition on Γ_0 ([12]).

For the unsteady Stokes problem, existence has been established by H.Fujita ([16]) when the density of body forces is equal to zero by using the non linear semigroup theory. For regularity properties the reader is referred to N.Saito and H.Fujita ([29]).

The purpose of this paper is to extend these results to unsteady problems with non-vanishing external forces and to more general friction boundary conditions, like Coulomb's friction boundary conditions, where the threshold $\mathcal{F}$ may depend on stress tensor σ. Indeed, for solids in contact with a sliding planar surface Γ_0, Coulomb established experimentally ([10]) that

$$v_n = 0, \quad |\sigma_t| \leq k|\sigma_n| \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} |\sigma_t| < k|\sigma_n| \Longrightarrow v_t = s, \\ |\sigma_t| = k|\sigma_n| \Longrightarrow \exists \lambda \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } v_t = s - \lambda\sigma_t \end{array} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

where σ_n is the normal component of the stress vector, s is the sliding velocity of the surface and $k > 0$ is a friction coefficient. Hence we get

$$v_n = 0, \quad -\sigma_t \in \mathcal{F}\partial\big(|v_t - s|\big) \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

with $\mathcal{F} = k|\sigma_n|$.

In order to be able to take into account also possible anisotropic friction, we will consider in this paper shear stress thresholds of the form $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(x', t, \sigma)$. More precisely we consider a non-stationary Stokes flow described by the system

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - div\big(2\mu D(v)\big) + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{1.1}$$

$$div(v) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0,T), \tag{1.2}$$

with the initial condition

$$v(0) = v^0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $[0,T]$ is a given non-trivial time interval, v and p denote respectively the velocity and the pressure of the fluid, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is its viscosity, f is the density of body forces and $D(v)$ is the strain rate tensor defined as

$$D(v) = \big(d_{ij}(v)\big)_{1\le i,j\le 3}, \quad d_{ij}(v) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}\right) \quad 1 \le i,j \le 3.$$

Motivated by lubrication or extrusion/injection problems, we assume that the fluid domain Ω is given by

$$\Omega = \big\{(x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : \quad x' \in \omega, \quad 0 < x_3 < h(x')\big\},$$

where ω is a non empty open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a Lipschitz continuous boundary, and h is a Lipschitz continuous function which is bounded from above and from below by some positive real numbers. We decompose the boundary of Ω as $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_L \cup \Gamma_1$, with $\Gamma_0 = \{(x', x_3) \in \overline{\Omega} : x_3 = 0\}$, $\Gamma_1 = \{(x', x_3) \in \overline{\Omega} : x_3 = h(x')\}$ and Γ_L the lateral part of the boundary. Let us denote by $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, and by $u \cdot w$ (resp. $|u|$) the Euclidean inner product (resp. the Euclidean norm) of vectors u and w. We define the normal and the tangential velocities on $\partial\Omega$ by

$$v_n = v \cdot n = \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i n_i, \quad v_t = \big(v_{ti}\big)_{1\le i\le 3} \text{ with } \; v_{ti} = v_i - v_n n_i \quad 1 \le i \le 3$$

and the normal and the tangential components of the stress tensor $\sigma = -p\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(v)$ by

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \sigma_{ij} n_i n_j, \quad \sigma_t = \big(\sigma_{ti}\big)_{1\le i\le 3} \text{ with } \; \sigma_{ti} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sigma_{ij} n_j - \sigma_n n_i \quad 1 \le i \le 3.$$

We introduce a function $s : \Gamma_0 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and a function $g : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_L} g_n \, d\gamma = 0, \quad g = 0 \text{ on } \; \Gamma_1, \quad g_n = 0 \text{ and } g_t = g - g_n n = (s, 0) \text{ on } \Gamma_0.$$

We assume that the upper part of the fluid domain is fixed while the lower part is moving with a shear velocity given by $s\zeta(t)$, where $\zeta : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\zeta(0) = 1$. Then the fluid velocity satisfies the following non-homogeneous boundary condition on $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_L$

$$v = g\zeta \quad \text{on} \quad (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_L) \times (0,T). \tag{1.4}$$

We assume furthermore that the flow satisfies a generalized dry friction law on Γ_0, i.e.

$$v_n = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \times (0,T), \tag{1.5}$$

$$|\sigma_t| \leq \mathcal{F}(x', t, \sigma) \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \times (0, T) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} |\sigma_t| < \mathcal{F}(x', t, \sigma) \Longrightarrow v_t = (s\zeta, 0), \\ |\sigma_t| = \mathcal{F}(x', t, \sigma) \Longrightarrow \exists \lambda \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } v_t = (s\zeta, 0) - \lambda \sigma_t \end{array} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \times (0, T) \tag{1.7}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a given non negative mapping on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$.

2 Mathematical formulation of the problem

In order to get a variational formulation of the problem we introduce the following functional spaces

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \left\{ \varphi \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3 : \ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_L, \ \varphi_n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{0div} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0 : \ div(\varphi) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\},$$

endowed with the norm of $\left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3$, and

$$L^2_0(\Omega) = \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega) : \ \int_\Omega q \, dx = 0 \right\}$$

endowed with the norm of $L^2(\Omega)$. We assume that

$$f \in L^2\big(0, T; \left(L^2(\Omega)\right)^3\big), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*_+, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\big([0, T], \mathbb{R}\big) \text{ such that } \zeta(0) = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

with $T > 0$, and we define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a(u, v) & = & \displaystyle\int_\Omega 2\mu D(u) : D(v) \, dx \\ & = & \displaystyle\int_\Omega 2\mu \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} d_{ij}(u) d_{ij}(v) \, dx \quad \forall (u, v) \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3 \times \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3. \end{array}$$

From Korn's inequality [22] we infer that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\alpha \|u\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \int_\Omega 2\mu D(u) : D(u) \, dx \leq 2\mu \|u\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V}_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, in order to deal with homogeneous boundary conditions on $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_L$, we assume that there exists an extension of g to Ω, denoted by G_0, such that

$$G_0 \in \left(H^2(\Omega)\right)^3, \quad div(G_0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad G_0 = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{2.4}$$

and we let

$$\widetilde{v} = v - G_0\zeta.$$

By multiplying (1.1) by a test-function $\varphi\chi$, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$, a formal integration by part leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T \int_\Omega div\big(2\mu D(v)\big)\cdot\varphi\chi\,dxdt + \int_0^T\int_\Omega \nabla p\cdot\varphi\chi\,dxdt\\
&= -\int_0^T\int_\Omega div(\sigma)\cdot\varphi\chi\,dxdt\\
&= \int_0^T\int_\Omega 2\mu\sum_{i,j=1}^3 d_{ij}(v)\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial x_j}\,dxdt - \int_0^T\int_\Omega p div(\varphi)\chi\,dxdt\\
&-\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{i,j=1}^3\sigma_{ij}\varphi_i n_j\chi\,d\gamma dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we infer from (1.5)-(1.7) that

$$\sigma_t\cdot(v-G_0\zeta) + \mathcal{F}\big(x',t,\sigma\big)|v-G_0\zeta| = 0 \quad \text{ on } \Gamma_0\times(0,T)$$

and recalling that $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{i,j=1}^3\sigma_{ij}\varphi_i n_j\chi\,d\gamma dt = \int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\sigma_t\cdot\varphi\chi\,dx'dt\\
&\geq -\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathcal{F}\big(x',t,\sigma\big)|\widetilde{v}+\varphi\chi|\,dx'dt + \int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathcal{F}\big(x',t,\sigma_n\big)|\widetilde{v}|\,dx'dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we expect $\widetilde{v}$ to take its values in $L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)$ these integrals make sense if $\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma)\in L^2\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0)\big)$.

Under this assumption we consider the following problem

Problem (P) Find

$$\widetilde{v}\in L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)\cap L^\infty\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^3\big),\ \ p\in H^{-1}\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

such that, for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0$ and for all $\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} - \big\langle\big(p,div(\varphi)\big),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\\
&+\int_0^T a(\widetilde{v},\varphi\chi)\,dt + \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\widetilde{v}+\varphi\chi) - \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\widetilde{v}) \geq \big\langle(f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \qquad (2.5)\\
&-\int_0^T a(G_0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt - \left\langle\left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma)\big|u(x',t)\big|\,dx'dt \quad \forall u\in L^2\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Gamma_0)\big)^3\big)$$

together with the initial condition

$$\widetilde{v}(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{v}^0 = v^0 - G_0\zeta(0) = v^0 - G_0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the inner product in $\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^3$. Let us emphasize that we identify $\widetilde{v}+\varphi\chi$ and $\widetilde{v}$ with their trace on Γ_0 in the definition of $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\widetilde{v}+\varphi\chi)$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\widetilde{v})$.

We may observe that, for any solution of problem (P), the stress tensor $\sigma = -p\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}+G_0\zeta)$ belongs to $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$. Thus we can not consider directly the Coulomb's friction case described by $\mathcal{F}(\cdot,\cdot,\sigma) = k|\sigma_n|$ since σ_n is not necessarily well defined on Γ_0 and $|\sigma_n|$ does not belong to $L^2\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0)\big)$. This kind of difficulty appears also in the study of frictional contact problems in solid mechanics and it has been encompassed by replacing σ_n by some regularization σ_n^*. This idea introduced by G.Duvaut ([12, 13]) has led to the so-called non-local Coulomb's friction law. The regularization procedure $\sigma_n \mapsto \sigma_n^*$ is built by using a linear continuous operator from $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ which fits the mechanical meaning of σ_n that is defined as the ratio of a force by a surface. Namely, for the static case in solid mechanics, it is easily proved by duality arguments that σ_n belongs to $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and σ_n^* is obtained by convolution of σ_n with a smooth non-negative function ([11]). See also [9] in the framework of non-Newtonian fluids.

In our case, for the unsteady flow problem, we have to deal with two additional difficulties. Indeed, in order to define the normal trace of σ on $\partial\Omega$, we have to establish some regularity properties for $div(\sigma)$. But, if we choose $\varphi \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\big)^3$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$ in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v},\varphi\right), \pm\chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}+G_0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt \\
&-\big\langle \big(p, div(\varphi)\big), \pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \\
&\geq \big\langle (f,\varphi), \pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} - \left\langle \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right), \pm\chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v},\varphi\right), \chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} + \int_0^T\int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial x_j}\chi\,dxdt \\
&= \int_0^T\int_\Omega f\varphi\chi\,dxdt - \int_0^T\int_\Omega G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\varphi\chi\,dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the regularity of $div(\sigma)$ is "governed" by the regularity of $\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}}{\partial t}$. Moreover, since $\sigma \in H^{-1}\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$, we may only expect σ_n to belong to $H^{-1}\big(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)\big)$. It follows that we will need to regularize σ_n not only with respect to the space variable as in [12, 13, 11] but also with respect to the time variable. Of course, in the case of an evolutionary problem, it will be a non sense to propose a convolution of σ_n with respect to the time variable on $[0,T]$ and the most natural regularization seems to replace σ_n by

σ_n^* and then to regularize σ_n^* by a kind of truncated convolution on each time interval $[0,t]$, $t \in [0,T]$, leading to a space-time non-local friction law described by

$$\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma) = k \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\sigma_n^*(x',s)\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$, where S is a non negative smooth real function.

Let us emphasize that S can also be interpreted as the kernel of some history-dependent shear stress threshold. Such kind of friction laws have been recently developped in the framework of solid mechanics (see [31] and the references therein for instance).

The outline of our paper is as follows. In the next section we consider the Tresca's case when the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ is a given non-negative function of (x',t) and does not depend on σ. We will establish an existence and uniqueness result for problem (P) as well as some estimates of the solution, by using a Yosida's approximation of the slip condition (Theorem 1). Then, under some compatibility assumptions on the initial velocity v_0, we prove additional regularity properties and sharp estimates for $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial t}$, p and σ (Theorem 2 and Proposition 1). Then, in Section 4 we consider the generalized Coulomb's friction case described by

$$\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\sigma_n^*(x',s)\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$. If $\mathcal{F}^\sigma \equiv 0$ we recover the Tresca's friction case and when $\mathcal{F}^0 \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{F}^\sigma \equiv k$, with $k > 0$, we obtain the space-time non-local friction law introduced previously.

For this generalized Coulomb's friction law we prove an existence result by applying a successive approximation technique with respect to the shear stress threshold.

3 The Tresca's friction case

Let us assume from now on that $\mathcal{F}$ does not depend on its third argument, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma) = \ell(x',t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0,T),$$

with

$$\ell \in L^2\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big) \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the closure in $\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^3$ of $\big\{\varphi \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\big)^3; div(\varphi) = 0\big\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let assumptions (2.1)-(2.2)-(2.4)-(3.1) hold. Then, for all $\widetilde{v}^0 \in \mathbf{H}$, problem (P) admits an unique solution. Furthermore, $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial t} \in L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div}\big)$.*

Proof. For the sake of notational simplicity, let us denote $\mathbf{X}$ instead of X^3 for any functional space X. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the following approximate problem (P_ε)
Problem (P_ε) Find

$$\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon \in L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big) \cap L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big),\ \ p_\varepsilon \in H^{-1}\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

such that, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and for all $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} - \left\langle \big(p_\varepsilon, div(\varphi)\big),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \\
&+\int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi\chi)\,dt + \int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0} \ell\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt = \big\langle (f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \qquad (3.2)\\
&-\int_0^T a(G_0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt - \left\langle\left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}
\end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition

$$\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{v}^0. \qquad (3.3)$$

As a first step we solve (P_ε) by using Galerkin's method. Recalling that $\mathbf{H} = \big\{\varphi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega);\ div(\varphi) = 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega,\ u_n = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega\big\}$ (see [32] for instance) we infer that there exists a Hilbertian basis $(w_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of $\mathbf{H}$ which is orthonormal for the inner product of $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$, and such that $(w_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is also a Hilbertian basis of $\mathcal{V}_{0div}$ which is orthogonal for the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$. Then, for all $m \geq 1$, we look for a function $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}$ given by

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{\varepsilon j}(t) w_j(x), \quad \forall t \in (0,T),\ \forall x \in \Omega,$$

such that, for all $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, w_k\right) + a(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}, w_k) + \int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot w_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\,dx' \\
&= (f,w_k) - a(G_0\zeta,w_k) - \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},w_k\right) \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T)
\end{aligned} \qquad (3.4)$$

with the initial condition

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{v}^0_m \qquad (3.5)$$

where $\widetilde{v}^0_m$ is the orthogonal projection of $\widetilde{v}^0$ in $\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^3$ on $\mathrm{Span}\big\{w_1 \dots w_m\big\}$.

By using Caratheodory's theorem (see [8]), we obtain that (3.4)-(3.5) admits a unique maximal solution $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \in W^{1,2}(0,\tau_m;\mathcal{V}_{0div})$, with $\tau_m \in (0,T]$. As usual we may establish some a priori estimates independent of m and ε which allow us to extend this solution to the whole interval $[0,T]$.

Lemma 1. *Assume that (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (3.1) hold and that $\widetilde{v}^0 \in \mathbf{H}$. Then, for all $m \geq 1$, the problem (3.4)-(3.5) admits a unique solution $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)$ which satisfies the following estimates:*

$$\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \leq C_1 \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \leq C_1 \tag{3.7}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant independent of m and ε.

Proof. We multiply equation (3.4) by $g_{\varepsilon k}(t)$ and we add from $k = 1$ to m. Observing that ℓ is a non negative mapping we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\right) + \int_\Omega 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}) : D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m})\, dx \\
&\leq (f, \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}) - \int_\Omega 2\mu D(G_0\zeta) : D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m})\, dx - \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\right) \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \tau_m).
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate from 0 to s, with $0 < s < \tau_m$. Then, by using (2.3) and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(s)\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^s \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\, dt \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^s \|f\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\, dt \\
&+\frac{2\mu^2}{\alpha}\|G_0\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\int_0^s |\zeta|^2\, dt + \frac{1}{2}\|G_0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\int_0^s \left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right|^2 dt + \int_0^s \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)$ is defined as the orthogonal projection of $\widetilde{v}^0$ in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ on $\mathrm{Span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(s)\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^s \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\, dt \leq C_1' + \int_0^s \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\, dt, \tag{3.8}$$

where C_1' is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1' = &\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}^0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|f\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\, dt + \frac{2\mu^2}{\alpha}\|G_0\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\int_0^T |\zeta|^2\, dt \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\|G_0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\int_0^T \left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right|^2 dt
\end{aligned}$$

With Grönwall's lemma, we get

$$\|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(s)\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq 2C_1' \exp(2s) \leq 2C_1' \exp(2T) \quad \forall s \in [0, \tau_m). \tag{3.9}$$

By definition of the maximal solution, we may conclude that $\tau_m = T$ and (3.6) follows from (3.9). By inserting (3.9) in (3.8) with $s = T$, we obtain (3.7).

□

As a corollary, we obtain also a uniform estimate of $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}$ in $L^2(0, \tau; \mathcal{V}'_{0div})$.

Lemma 2. *Assume that (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (3.1) hold and that $\widetilde{v}^0 \in \mathbf{H}$. Then there exists a positive real number C_2, independent of m and ε, such that*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div})} \leq C_2. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{0div}$. For all $m \geq 1$, we define φ_m as the orthogonal projection with respect to the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ of φ on $\mathrm{Span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$. With (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi_m\right) &= -\int_\Omega 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}) : D(\varphi_m)\, dx - \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \cdot \varphi_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\, dx' \\ &+(f, \varphi_m) - \int_\Omega 2\mu D(G_0\zeta) : D(\varphi_m)\, dx - \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi_m\right) \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We estimate all the terms in the right hand side of the previous equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi_m\right)\right| \leq 2\mu \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|\ell\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)} \|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)} \\ &+\|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + 2\mu|\zeta| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \\ &+\left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T).\end{aligned}$$

As $(w_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is an orthogonal family of $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ and φ_m is the orthogonal projection with respect to the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ of φ on $\mathrm{Span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$, we have $\|\varphi_m\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}$ and

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi_m\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi_k\right) \quad \forall k \geq m.$$

Moreover $(w_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is a Hilbertian basis of $\mathcal{V}_{0div}$, so the sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k\geq 1}$ converges strongly to φ in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ and we get

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi_m\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi\right).$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, \varphi\right)\right| \leq 2\mu \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + c(\gamma_0) \|\ell\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \\ &+\left(\|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + 2\mu|\zeta| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\right) \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \text{ a.e. in } (0,T),\end{aligned}$$

where $c(\gamma_0)$ is the norm of the trace operator $\gamma_0 : \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \to \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathcal{V}'_{0div}} \leq 2\mu \|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + c(\gamma_0) \|\ell\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)} + \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ &+2\mu|\zeta| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right| \|G_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T)\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows from the estimates of Lemma 1.

□

Now we can pass to the limit as m tends to $+\infty$. Indeed there exists a subsequence of $(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m})_{m\geq 1}$, still denoted $(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m})_{m\geq 1}$, such that

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \rightharpoonup \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div}).$$

By using Aubin's lemma we infer that, possibly extracting another subsequence,

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \to \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^s(\Omega))$$

with $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ and thus

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \to \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)) \text{ and a.e. on } \Gamma_0 \times (0,T). \tag{3.12}$$

Let $\chi \in L^2(0,T)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{0div}$. For all $m \geq 1$ we define again φ_m as the orthogonal projection of φ with respect to the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ on $\mathrm{Span}\{w_1,\dots,w_m\}$. We multiply (3.11) by χ, we integrate on $(0,T)$ and we pass to the limit as m tends to $+\infty$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}'_{0div},\mathcal{V}_{0div}} \chi \, dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}) : D(\varphi\chi)\, dxdt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2}} \chi \, dx'dt = \int_0^T (f, \varphi\chi)\, dt \\
&- \int_0^T \int_\Omega 2\mu D(G_0\zeta) : D(\varphi\chi)\, dxdt - \int_0^T \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\chi \right) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Furthermore, by using Simon's lemma and possibly extracting another subsequence, we have

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \to \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{strongly in } \mathcal{C}^0\big([0,T];\mathcal{H}\big),$$

for any Banach space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}'_{0div}$ with continuous injections and compact embedding of $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ into $\mathcal{H}$. Recalling that

$$\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0) = \widetilde{v}^0_m \to \widetilde{v}^0 \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$$

we infer that $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(0) = \widetilde{v}^0$.

Finally, using De Rham's theorem, we obtain that there exists p_ε such that $(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}, p_\varepsilon)$ is a solution of problem (P_ε). Indeed, possibly modifying $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ on a negligible subset of $[0,T]$, we have $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \in C^0\big([0,T];\mathbf{H}\big)$. Hence for all $t \in [0,T]$, we may define $F_\varepsilon \in C^0\big([0,T];\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)\big)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle F_\varepsilon(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} = -\big(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t) - \widetilde{v}^0, \varphi\big) - \int_0^t \int_\Omega 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon} + G_0\zeta) : D(\varphi)\, dxdt \\
&+ \int_0^t (f,\varphi) - \int_0^t \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi \right) dt \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega),\ \forall t \in [0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

With (3.13) we obtain that

$$\big\langle F_\varepsilon(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $div(\varphi) = 0$ and we infer that there exists $\pi_\varepsilon \in C^0\big([0,T]; L_0^2(\Omega)\big)$ such that

$$\big\langle F_\varepsilon(t), \varphi\big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)} = \big\langle \nabla \pi_\varepsilon(t), \varphi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),\ \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.14}$$

Let us denote now by p_ε the time derivative of π_ε in the distribution sense. From (3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{d}{dt}(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon, \varphi) + a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta, \varphi) + \big\langle \nabla p_\varepsilon, \varphi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} \\ = (f, \varphi) - \left(G_0 \dfrac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\right) dt \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(0,T)$.

Lemma 3. *We have $p_\varepsilon \in H^{-1}(0,T; L_0^2(\Omega))$ and there exists a constant C_3 independent of ε such that*

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-1}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C_3.$$

Proof. With (3.15) we get

$$\begin{array}{l} \big\langle \big(p_\varepsilon, div(\varphi)\big), \chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} = -\displaystyle\int_0^T (\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon, \varphi)\chi'\, dt + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta, \varphi)\chi\, dt \\ -\displaystyle\int_0^T (f, \varphi)\chi\, dt + \int_0^T \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\right)\chi\, dt \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),\ \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T). \end{array}$$

By density the same equality is still valid for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Now let $\widetilde{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$w = \widetilde{w} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega \widetilde{w}\, dx.$$

By construction we have $w \in L_0^2(\Omega)$ and $\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Moreover there exists a linear continuous operator $P : L_0^2(\Omega) \to \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$P(w) = \varphi \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad div(\varphi) = w \quad \forall w \in L_0^2(\Omega)$$

(see [18]). It follows that

$$\begin{array}{l} \Big|\big\langle (p_\varepsilon, \widetilde{w}), \chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\Big| = \Big|\big\langle (p_\varepsilon, w), \chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\Big| \\ = \Big|\big\langle \big(p_\varepsilon, div(\varphi)\big), \chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\Big| \\ \le \|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}\|P(w)\chi'\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \\ +2\mu\big(\|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} + \sqrt{T}\|G_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\|\zeta\|_{C^0([0,T])}\big)\|P(w)\chi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ + \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} + \sqrt{T}\|G_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\left\|\dfrac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right\|_{C^0([0,T])}\right)\|P(w)\chi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T). \end{array}$$

Since the estimates obtained in Lemma 1 are independent of m and ε, we infer that the sequence $(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}) \cap L^\infty\big(0,\tau;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$. Finally, by using the continuity of the operator P and the density of $\mathcal{D}(0,T)\otimes L^2(\Omega)$ into $H_0^1\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big)$, we may conclude.

□

It follows that $\sigma_\varepsilon = -p_\varepsilon \mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta)$ belongs to $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$ and is bounded in $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$ uniformly with respect to ε. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt\\
&-\big\langle \big(p_\varepsilon, div(\varphi)\big),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} = \big\langle (f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\\
&-\left\langle \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \qquad \forall\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega),\ \forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T (\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi)\chi'\,dt - \int_0^T \big\langle div(\sigma_\varepsilon),\varphi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}\chi\,dt\\
&=\int_0^T (f,\varphi)\chi\,dt - \int_0^T \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt \quad \forall\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega),\ \forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and we infer that $div(\sigma_\varepsilon)$ belongs to $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ and is bounded in $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ uniformly with respect to ε.

Let us consider now $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0$ in (3.16). Recalling that σ_ε and $div(\sigma_\varepsilon)$ belong to $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$ and $H^{-1}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ respectively, we may apply Green's formula (see [18]) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T (\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi)\chi'\,dt + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta,\varphi)\chi\,dt - \int_0^T \big(p_\varepsilon, div(\varphi)\big)\chi\,dt\\
&-\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij\varepsilon}n_j\varphi_i\chi\,dx'dt\\
&=\int_0^T (f,\varphi)\chi\,dt - \int_0^T \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt \quad \forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T).
\end{aligned}$$

But for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0$ we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\varphi\cdot n\,d\gamma = 0$$

and there exists $\widetilde{\varphi}\in\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad div(\widetilde{\varphi}) = 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega$$

since Ω is connected (see [18]). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T (\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\widetilde{\varphi})\chi'\,dt + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + G_0\zeta,\widetilde{\varphi})\chi\,dt - \int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij\varepsilon}n_j\widetilde{\varphi}_i\chi\,dx'dt\\
&=\int_0^T (f,\widetilde{\varphi})\chi\,dt - \int_0^T \left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\widetilde{\varphi}\right)\chi\,dt \quad \forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T).
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing with (3.13), we obtain

$$-\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\sum_{i,j=1}^{3}\sigma_{ij\varepsilon}n_j\widetilde{\varphi}_i\chi\,dx'dt=\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\widetilde{\varphi}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt\quad\forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T).$$

Owing that $\widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi$ on Γ_0 we infer that

$$-\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\sum_{i,j=1}^{3}\sigma_{ij\varepsilon}n_j\varphi_i\chi\,dx'dt=\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt\quad\forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T)$$

and finally $(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon)$ is a solution of problem (P_ε).

Now, observing that

$$\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\big(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon+\varphi\chi|^2}-\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}\big)\,dx'dt\geq\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt$$

we get from (3.2) the following variational inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}-\big\langle\big(p_\varepsilon,div(\varphi)\big),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}+\int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon,\varphi\chi)\,dt\\&+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon+\varphi\chi|^2}\,dx'dt-\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}\,dx'dt\\&\geq\big\langle(f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}-\int_0^T a(G_0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt\\&-\left\langle\left(G_0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\qquad\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0,\ \forall\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T).\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Let us pass now to the limit as ε tends to zero. Since the estimates obtained in Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 are independent of m and ε, we infer that the sequences $(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$, $\left(\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ and $(p_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ are bounded in $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div})\cap L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$, $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div})$ and $H^{-1}\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$ respectively. Hence we have the following the convergence results

$$\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup\widetilde{v}\quad\text{weakly star in }L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\text{ and weakly in }L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}),$$

$$\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\rightharpoonup\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}}{\partial t}\quad\text{weakly in }L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div}),$$

$$\widetilde{p}_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup\widetilde{p}\quad\text{weakly in }H^{-1}\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big).$$

Moreover, possibly extracting another subsequence, we have

$$\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\to\widetilde{v}\quad\text{strongly in }L^2\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)\big),$$

and

$$\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\to\widetilde{v}\quad\text{strongly in }\mathcal{C}^0\big([0,T];\mathcal{H}\big),$$

for any Banach space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}'_{0div}$ with continuous injections and compact embedding of $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ into $\mathcal{H}$. Then we may use the same arguments as previously to pass to the limit as ε tends to zero in problem (3.17). Indeed, for the boundary term, we may apply the following property

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon + \varphi\chi|^2}\, dx' dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell |\widetilde{v} + \varphi\chi|\, dx' dt \right| \\ \leq \|\ell\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0))} \Big(\|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon - \widetilde{v}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0))} + \varepsilon \sqrt{T \mathrm{meas}(\Gamma_0)} \Big)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and for all $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$, which allows us to conclude that $(\widetilde{v}, p)$ is a solution of problem (P).

There remains now to prove uniqueness. Let $(\widetilde{v}_1, p_1)$ and $(\widetilde{v}_2, p_2)$ be two solutions of problem (P). Since $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_i}{\partial t} \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}'_{0div})$, $i = 1, 2$, we may rewrite (2.5) as

$$\int_0^T \langle \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_i}{\partial t}, \varphi\chi \rangle_{\mathcal{V}'_{0,div}, \mathcal{V}_{0,div}}\, dt + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_i + G_0\zeta, \varphi\chi)\, dt \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \left(|\widetilde{v}_i + \varphi\chi| - |\widetilde{v}_i| \right) dx' dt \geq \int_0^T (f, \varphi\chi)\, dt - \int_0^T \left(G_0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\chi \right) dt$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{0div}$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$. By density of $\mathcal{D}(0,T) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{0div}$ into $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div})$ we may replace $\varphi\chi$ by $(\widetilde{v}_j - \widetilde{v}_i)\mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}$ with $i, j \in \{1,2\}$, $i \neq j$ and $s \in [0,T]$. By adding the two variational inequalities and using (2.3) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{d}{dt} \|\widetilde{v}_1 - \widetilde{v}_2\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} dt + \alpha \int_0^s \|\widetilde{v}_1 - \widetilde{v}_2\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} dt \leq 0.$$

As $\widetilde{v}_1(0) = \widetilde{v}_2(0) = \widetilde{v}^0$, we obtain

$$\|\widetilde{v}_1(s) - \widetilde{v}_2(s)\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq 0 \quad \forall s \in [0,T].$$

Then, with (2.5) we have

$$\big\langle \big(p_1 - p_2, div(\varphi)\big), \chi \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T), \mathcal{D}(0,T)} = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega),\ \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T).$$

Now let $\widetilde{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$w = \widetilde{w} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \widetilde{w}\, dx \in L^2_0(\Omega).$$

There exists $\varphi = P(w) \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)$ such that $div(\varphi) = w$ (see [18]) and thus

$$\big\langle (p_1 - p_2, \tilde{w}), \chi \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T), \mathcal{D}(0,T)} \\ = \big\langle (p_1 - p_2, w), \chi \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T), \mathcal{D}(0,T)} = 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T).$$

By density of $\mathcal{D}(0,T) \otimes L^2(\Omega)$ into $H^1_0\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big)$ we get

$$\big\langle p_1 - p_2, \eta \big\rangle_{H^{-1}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), H^1_0(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H^1_0\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big)$$

and thus $p_1 = p_2$.

□

Let us assume now that the following compatibility condition for the initial velocity is satisfied

$$v^0 \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega), \quad div(v^0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v^0 = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial v^0}{\partial x_3} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0. \tag{3.19}$$

Then we may choose $G_0 = v^0$ and the initial condition (2.6) becomes

$$\widetilde{v}(0,\cdot) = v^0 - G_0 = \widetilde{v}^0 = 0 \in \mathbf{H}.$$

Let us assume also that

$$f \in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big), \quad \ell \in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big). \tag{3.20}$$

Then we can prove further regularity properties for the unique solution of problem (P).

Theorem 2. *Let assumptions (2.2)-(3.18)-(3.19)-(3.20) hold. Then the unique solution $(\widetilde{v},p)$ of problem (P) satisfies the following regularity properties*

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial t} \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}), \quad p \in L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}}{\partial t^2} \in L^2\big(0,T;\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$$

with $\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega) = \big\{\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) :\ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ and } div(\varphi) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\big\}$.

Proof. Let us adopt the same notations as in the previous proof. Recalling that the trace operator maps $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ into $\mathbf{L}^4(\partial\Omega)$ (see [28] for instance), we infer from (3.20) that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $m \geq 1$, we have $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \in W^{2,2}\big(0,T;\mathrm{Span}\{w_1,\dots,w_m\}\big)$ and (3.4) holds for all $t \in [0,T]$. We may differentiate all the terms of (3.4) with respect to the time variable and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t^2}, w_k\right) + a\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}, w_k\right) + \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t} \frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \cdot w_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\, dx' \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t} \cdot w_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}} - \frac{\left(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \cdot \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)\left(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \cdot w_k\right)}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2\right)^{3/2}} \right) dx' \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, w_k\right) - a\left(v^0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, w_k\right) - \left(v^0 \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, w_k\right) \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for all $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$. Now we multiply (3.21) by $g'_{\varepsilon k}(t)$ and we add from $k = 1$ to m. We obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \left(\frac{\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right|^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}} - \frac{\left(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m} \cdot \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)^2}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2\right)^{3/2}} \right) dx' \geq \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell \varepsilon^2 \frac{|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\, dx' \geq 0$$

and with (2.3) we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\alpha\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\leq-\int_{\Gamma_0}\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\,dx'$$
$$+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)-a\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)-\left(v^0\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)\text{ a.e. in }(0,T).\quad(3.22)$$

Let us estimate now the right side of (3.22). We obtain

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_0}\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\,dx'\right|$$
$$\leq c(\gamma_0)\left\|\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\leq\frac{\alpha}{4}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}+\frac{c(\gamma_0)^2}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}$$

where we recall that $c(\gamma_0)$ is the norm of the trace operator from $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)$,

$$\left|\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)\right|\leq\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\leq\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

$$\left|a\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)\right|\leq2\mu\left|\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right|\|v^0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}$$
$$\leq\frac{\alpha}{4}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}+\frac{4\mu^2}{\alpha}\left|\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right|^2\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)},$$

$$\left|\left(v^0\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2},\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)\right|\leq\left|\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2}\right|\|v^0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}$$
$$\leq\left|\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2}\right|^2\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$

Gathering all these estimates, we infer

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\leq A_1+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\quad\text{a.e. in }(0,T)$$

with

$$A_1=\frac{c(\gamma_0)^2}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}+\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\frac{4\mu^2}{\alpha}\left|\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right|^2\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}+\left|\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2}\right|^2\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$

Let $s\in[0,T]$. By integration we have

$$\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(s)\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\alpha\int_0^s\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}dt$$
$$\leq\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}+2\int_0^s A_1\,dt+\int_0^s\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}dt.\quad(3.23)$$

Moreover, taking $t = 0$ in (3.4) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0), w_k\right) = -a\big(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0) + v^0\zeta(0), w_k\big) - \int_{\Gamma_0} \ell(0)\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)\cdot w_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)|^2}}\, dx'$$
$$+\big(f(0), w_k\big) - \left(v^0\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(0), w_k\right) \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Reminding that $\zeta(0) = 1$ and $G_0 = v^0$, we get $\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0) = \widetilde{v}_m^0 = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$ and

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \ell(0)\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)\cdot w_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + |\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(0)|^2}}\, dx' = 0.$$

We multiply the previous equality by $g'_{\varepsilon k}(0)$ and we add from $k = 1$ to m. With Green's formula and (3.18)-(3.19), we get

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega 2\mu \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\big(d_{ij}(v^0)\big)\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{i\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\, dx$$
$$+\left(f(0), \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\right) - \left(v^0\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(0), \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\right).$$

It follows that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(0)\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq A_0 = 2\sqrt{3}\mu\|v^0\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} + \big\|f(0)\big\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \left|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(0)\right| \|v^0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, with (3.23) we get

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}(s)\right\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \alpha\int_0^s \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} dt$$
$$\leq \Big(A_0^2 + \frac{2c(\gamma_0)^2}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + 2\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}$$
$$+\frac{8\mu^2}{\alpha}T\left\|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{C}([0,T])}\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + 2T\left\|\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{C}([0,T])}\|v^0\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\Big)\exp(s) \quad \forall s \in [0,T].$$

Hence $\left(\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)_{m\geq 1,\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ and in $L^2\big(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)\big)$ uniformly with respect to m and ε.

It follows that we can pass to the limit as m tends to $+\infty$. We obtain

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}). \quad (3.24)$$

Hence $\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon \in W^{1,\infty}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div})$ and with a straightforward adaptation of Lemma 3 we get

$$\Big|\big\langle (p_\varepsilon, \widetilde{w}), \chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\Big| \leq \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}\|P(w)\chi\|_{L^1(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}$$
$$+2\mu\big(\|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|v^0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\|\zeta\|_{C^0([0,T])}\big)\|P(w)\chi\|_{L^1(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))}$$
$$+\left(\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} + \|v^0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right\|_{C^0([0,T])}\right)\|P(w)\chi\|_{L^1(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}$$
$$\forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T).$$

Hence $p_\varepsilon \in L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$ and the sequence $\left(\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t},p_\varepsilon\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is uniformly bounded in $\Big(L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\cap L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div})\Big)\times L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$. Moreover, for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_{0div}$ and $m\geq 1$ we may define φ_m as the othogonal projection of φ with respect to the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ on $\mathrm{Span}\big\{w_1,\ldots,w_m\big\}$. We multiply (3.21) by χ and we integrate on $(0,T)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T\left(\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t},\varphi_m\right)\chi'\,dt+\int_0^T a\left(\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t},\varphi_m\right)\chi\,dt\\
&+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\varphi_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt\\
&+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\left(\frac{\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\cdot\varphi_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}-\frac{\left(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\right)(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\varphi_m)}{\left(\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)\chi\,dx'dt \qquad (3.25)\\
&=\int_0^T\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t},\varphi_m\right)\chi\,dt-\int_0^T a\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi_m\right)\chi\,dt\\
&-\int_0^T\left(v^0\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2},\varphi_m\right)\chi\,dt\quad\text{for all } m\geq 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the trace operator is a linear continuous mapping from $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ into $\mathbf{L}^4(\partial\Omega)$ we infer from (3.24) that

$$
\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}\rightharpoonup\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\quad\text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^4\big(\Gamma_0\big))
$$

under the convention that we identify $\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}}{\partial t}$ (resp. $\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}$) with its trace on Γ_0. Moreover, with (3.12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\ell\left(\frac{\varphi_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2}}-\frac{\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}(\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}\cdot\varphi_m)}{\left(\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon m}|^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)\\
&\to\ell\left(\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}-\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi)}{\left(\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)\quad\text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^{4/3}\big(\Gamma_0\big)\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we can pass to the limit in all the terms of (3.25) and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^T\left(\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi'\,dt+\int_0^T a\left(\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial t}\frac{\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}\chi\,dx'dt\\
&+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell\left(\frac{\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\cdot\varphi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2}}-\frac{\left(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)(\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\varphi)}{\left(\varepsilon^2+|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)\chi\,dx'dt\\
&=\int_0^T\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt-\int_0^T a\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt-\int_0^T\left(v^0\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial t^2},\varphi\right)\chi\,dt
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{0div}$ and for all $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$. Let us choose now $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \varphi\right), \chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} + \int_0^T a\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \varphi\right)\chi\, dt \\
&= \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \varphi\right)\chi\, dt - \int_0^T a\left(v^0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\right)\chi\, dt \\
&- \int_0^T \left(v^0 \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, \varphi\right)\chi\, dt \quad \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)
\end{aligned}$$

and we infer from the previous estimates that $\dfrac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}$ belongs to $L^2\big(0,T;\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$ and is uniformly bounded with respect to ε in $L^2\big(0,T;\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$.

Finally, by using the techniques of Theorem 1, we may conclude that the unique solution $(\widetilde{v}, p)$ of problem (P) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial t} \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}), \quad p \in L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}}{\partial t^2} \in L^2\big(0,T;\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big).$$

□

As a corollary we obtain

Proposition 1. *Let us assume that (2.2)-(3.18)-(3.19)-(3.20) hold. Then the stress tensor $\sigma = -p\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v} + v_0\zeta)$ belongs to $L^\infty\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$ and $div(\sigma)$ belongs to $L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$.*

Proof. The first part of the result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2. Now let us choose $\varphi \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\big)^3$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$. With (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}, \varphi\right), \pm\chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} + \int_0^T a(\widetilde{v} + v^0\zeta, \pm\varphi\chi)\, dt \\
&-\big\langle \big(p, div(\varphi)\big), \pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} \\
&\geq \big\langle (f,\varphi), \pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)} - \left\langle \left(v^0\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \varphi\right), \pm\chi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial t}\varphi\chi\, dxdt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}\chi\, dxdt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_\Omega f\varphi\chi\, dxdt - \int_0^T \int_\Omega v^0 \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\varphi\chi\, dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_\Omega\sum_{i,j=1}^3\sigma_{ij}\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial x_j}\chi\,dxdt\right|\le\left(\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}+\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}\right.$$
$$\left.+\left\|\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right\|_{C^0([0,T]}\|v^0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}\right)\|\varphi\chi\|_{L^1(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}.$$

Hence $div(\sigma)\in\big(L^1\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\big)'=L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$.

□

4 The generalized Coulomb's friction case

Let us assume now that $\mathcal{F}$ can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma)=\mathcal{F}^0(x',t)+\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds \tag{4.1}$$

for almost every $x'\in\Gamma_0$ and for all $t\in[0,T]$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a regularization operator which will be described below, σ^3 is the vector $(\sigma_{3j})_{1\le j\le 3}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^0\in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big),\quad \mathcal{F}^\sigma\in W^{1,p}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big)\ \text{ with } p>2, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$S\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathbb{R}^+). \tag{4.3}$$

Then, possibly modifying $\mathcal{F}^0$ and $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ on a negligible subset of $[0,T]$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^0\in C^0\big([0,T];L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big),\ \mathcal{F}^\sigma\in C^0\big([0,T];L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big).$$

Similarly, recalling that $f\in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$, possibly modifying f on a negligible subset of $[0,T]$, we have also $f\in C^0\big([0,T];\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$.

We will prove an existence result for problem (P) by using a successive approximation technique. Indeed, for any given Tresca's friction threshold $\ell_k\in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big)$, we consider

Problem (P_k) Find

$$\widetilde{v}_k\in L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)\cap L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big),\ \ p_k\in H^{-1}\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

such that, for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{V}_0$ and for all $\chi\in\mathcal{D}(0,T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_k,\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}-\big\langle\big(p_k,div(\varphi)\big),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\\&+\int_0^T a(\widetilde{v}_k,\varphi\chi)\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_0}\ell_k\big(\big|\widetilde{v}_k+\varphi\chi\big|-\big|\widetilde{v}_k\big|\big)\,dx'dt\\&\ge\big\langle(f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}-\int_0^T a(v^0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt-\left\langle\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T),\mathcal{D}(0,T)}\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\widetilde{v}_k(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{v}^0 = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

With the results of Section 3 we know that problem (P_k) admits an unique solution and $\sigma_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} -p_k\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_k + v_0\zeta)$ belongs to $L^\infty\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$ with $div(\sigma_k) \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$. For all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ we denote by σ_k^i the vector $(\sigma_{ijk})_{1\le j\le 3}$ and we introduce the following functional space

$$E(\Omega) = \big\{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega);\ div(\widetilde{\sigma}) \in L^2(\Omega)\big\}$$

endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\Omega)}$ given by

$$\|\widetilde{\sigma}\|_{E(\Omega)} = \big(\|\widetilde{\sigma}\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \big\|div(\widetilde{\sigma})\big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\big)^{1/2} \quad \forall \widetilde{\sigma} \in E(\Omega).$$

With the previous results we know that $\sigma_k^i \in L^\infty\big(0,T;E(\Omega)\big)$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and for all $k \ge 0$. Let us recall that there exists a trace operator $\gamma_n : E(\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that, for all $\widetilde{\sigma} \in E(\Omega)$, the following Green's formula holds

$$\int_\Omega div(\widetilde{\sigma})\psi\,dx + \int_\Omega \widetilde{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\psi\,dx = \big\langle \gamma_n(\widetilde{\sigma}),\psi\big\rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega),H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \quad \forall \psi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

and $\gamma_n(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is called the normal component of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $\partial\Omega$ (see [18] for instance). Then, following [11] we introduce a regularization operator $\mathcal{R} : E(\Omega) \to C^0(\Gamma_0)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{\sigma})(x') &= \big\langle \gamma_n(\widetilde{\sigma}), f_{x'}\big\rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega),H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\\ &= \int_\Omega div(\widetilde{\sigma}) f_{x'}\,dx + \int_\Omega \widetilde{\sigma}\cdot\nabla f_{x'}\,dx \quad \forall x' \in \Gamma_0,\ \forall \widetilde{\sigma} \in E(\Omega)\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where f is a function belonging to $C_0^\infty(\Gamma_0\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $f_{x'} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_{x'}(x) = f(x',x'-x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $x' \in \Gamma_0$. Since $\gamma_n(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \widetilde{\sigma}\cdot n$ on $\partial\Omega$ for all $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})\big)^3$ and $n = (0,0,-1)$ on Γ_0, we obtain that

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}n_in_j = -\gamma_n(\sigma^3) \quad \text{ on } \Gamma_0$$

for any $\sigma \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})\big)^{3\times 3}$. Then we let

$$\ell_{k+1}(x',t) = \mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma_k) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$, thus $\ell_{k+1} \in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big)$. Starting from a given $\ell_0 \in W^{1,2}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0,\mathbb{R}^+)\big)$, we construct a sequence $(\widetilde{v}_k,p_k,\sigma_k)_{k\ge 0}$ such that

$$\widetilde{v}_k \in W^{1,\infty}\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;\mathcal{V}_{0div}),$$

$$p_k \in L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2_0(\Omega)\big),$$

$$\sigma_k \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big), \quad div(\sigma_k) \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$$

and we expect that $(\widetilde{v}_k, p_k)_{k\geq 0}$ converges towards a solution of problem (P).

More precisely, let us assume that problem (P) admits a solution $(\bar{v},\bar{p})$ on some time interval $[0,\tau]$, with $0 \leq \tau < T$. Let $\bar{\sigma} = -\bar{p}\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\bar{v} + v^0\zeta)$ and assume that $\bar{\sigma} \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$, $div(\bar{\sigma}) \in L^\infty\big(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$. We define ℓ_0 by

$$\ell_0(x',t) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\bar{\sigma}^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,\tau]$ and

$$\ell_0(x',t) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',\tau)\int_0^\tau S(\tau-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\bar{\sigma}^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [\tau,T]$.

Let $(\widetilde{v}_0, p_0)$ be the unique solution of problem (P_0) on $[0,T]$. Then $(\widetilde{v}_0, p_0)$ satisfies also problem (P) with $\mathcal{F}(\cdot,\cdot,\bar{\sigma}) = \ell_{0|[0,\tau]}$ on $[0,\tau]$ and, by uniqueness of the solution (see Theorem 1), we infer that $\widetilde{v}_0 = \bar{v}$ and $p_0 = \bar{p}$ on $(0,\tau)$.

Next let $(\ell_k)_{k\geq 0}$ be given by the iteration procedure described previously i.e.

$$\ell_{k+1}(x',t) = \mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma_k) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$, with $\sigma_k = -p_k\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_k + v^0\zeta)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Let $(\widetilde{v}_1, p_1)$ be the unique solution of problem (P_1) on $[0,T]$. We observe that $\sigma_0 = \bar{\sigma}$ on $(0,\tau)$, hence $\ell_1 = \ell_0$ on $(0,\tau)$ and we obtain $\widetilde{v}_1 = \widetilde{v}_0 = \bar{v}$ and $p_1 = p_0 = \bar{p}$ on $(0,\tau)$. By an immediate induction we get

$$\ell_k = \ell_0, \quad \widetilde{v}_k = \bar{v}, \quad p_k = \bar{p} \quad \text{on } (0,\tau), \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

Now let $\tau' \in (\tau,T]$. By using the results of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Proposition 1 we obtain that there exists a positive real number $C_{\mathrm{data}} = C_{\mathrm{data}}(\mu,\zeta,f,v^0)$, depending only on the data μ, ζ, f and v^0, such that $\widetilde{v}_k$, $\dfrac{\partial^2\widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t^2}$, p_k, σ_k and $div(\sigma_k)$ are bounded by $C_{\mathrm{data}}\big(1+\|\ell_k\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}\big)$ in $W^{1,\infty}\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\cap W^{1,2}(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$, $L^2\big(0,\tau',\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$, $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$, $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';(L^2(\Omega)^{3\times 3}\big)$ and $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ respectively. It follows that

$$\|\sigma_k^3\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))} \leq \sqrt{2}C_{\mathrm{data}}\big(1+\|\ell_k\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}\big) \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Moreover, let $\widetilde{\ell}_k = \ell_k - \mathcal{F}^0$ for all $k \geq 0$. We have:

Proposition 2. *Under the previous assumptions, there exist a constant C_τ, depending only on τ, and a constant $C'_{\mathrm{data}} = C'_{\mathrm{data}}(\mu,\zeta,f,v^0)$, depending only on the data μ, ζ, f and v^0, such that*

$$\|\widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq C_\tau + C'_{\mathrm{data}}\big(\sqrt{\tau'-\tau} + (\tau'-\tau)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}}\big)\|\widetilde{\ell}_k\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}$$

for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. For all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}(x',t) = \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}}{\partial t}(x',t) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^\sigma}{\partial t}(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds \\ &+\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)S(0)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,t))(x')\big| + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S'(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds\end{aligned}$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for almost every $t \in [0,T]$.

But $\mathcal{F}^\sigma \in W^{1,p}\big(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0;\mathbb{R}^+)\big)$, $S \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{L}_c\big(E(\Omega);C^0(\Gamma_0)\big)$. So there exists three positive real numbers $C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}$, C_S and $C_{\mathcal{R}}$ such that

$$\big\|\mathcal{F}^\sigma\big\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^\sigma}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^p(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma},$$

$$\big|S(t')\big| \leq C_S, \quad \big|S'(t')\big| \leq C_S \quad \forall t' \in [0,T],$$

and

$$\big\|\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{\sigma})\big\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_0)} \leq C_{\mathcal{R}}\|\widetilde{\sigma}\|_{E(\Omega)} \quad \forall \widetilde{\sigma} \in E(\Omega).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}\big\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} = \int_0^{\tau'} \left\|\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} dt \\ &= \|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + \int_\tau^{\tau'} \left\|\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} dt \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + C^2_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}C_S^2 \int_\tau^{\tau'} t\left(\int_0^t \big\|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma_0)}\, ds\right) dt \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + C^2_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}C_S^2(\tau'-\tau)T^2C^2_{\mathcal{R}}\|\sigma_k^3\|^2_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} = \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\ell}_0}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(\tau,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} \\ &\leq \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\ell}_0}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + 3\int_\tau^{\tau'} \left\|\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^\sigma}{\partial t}(x',t) \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} dt \\ &+3\int_\tau^{\tau'} \big\|\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)S(0)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,t))(x')\big|\big\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}\, dt \\ &+3\int_\tau^{\tau'} \left\|\mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t) \int_0^t S'(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_k^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\, ds\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} dt\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}\\
&\leq \left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{\ell}_0}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + 3C_S^2T^2C_{\mathcal{R}}^2\|\sigma_k^3\|^2_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}\int_\tau^{\tau'}\left\|\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}^\sigma}{\partial t}(x',t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}dt\\
&+3C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}^2C_S^2(\tau'-\tau)(T^2+1)C_{\mathcal{R}}^2\|\sigma_k^3\|^2_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}\\
&\leq \left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{\ell}_0}{\partial t}\right\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))} + 3C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}^2C_S^2(T^2+1)C_{\mathcal{R}}^2\big((\tau'-\tau)+(\tau'-\tau)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\big)\|\sigma_k^3\|^2_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining these estimates we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\widetilde{\ell}_{k+1}\big\|^2_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq \|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|^2_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))}\\
&+C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}^2C_S^2C_{\mathcal{R}}^2 2(4T^2+3)C_{\text{data}}^2\big((\tau'-\tau)+(\tau'-\tau)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\big)\\
&\times\big(1+\|\mathcal{F}^0\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}+\|\widetilde{\ell}_k\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))}\big)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and we may conclude with

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_\tau = \|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau;L^2(\Gamma_0))}\\
&+C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}C_SC_{\mathcal{R}}\sqrt{2(4T^2+3)}C_{\text{data}}\big(\sqrt{T}+T^{\frac{p-2}{2p}}\big)\big(1+\|\mathcal{F}^0\|_{W^{1,2}(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_0))}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$C'_{\text{data}} = C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}C_SC_{\mathcal{R}}\sqrt{2(4T^2+3)}C_{\text{data}}.$$

□

Let us fix now $\tau' > \tau$ such that

$$\sqrt{\tau'-\tau}+(\tau'-\tau)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \leq \frac{1}{2C'_{\text{data}}}.$$

For instance we may choose

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau' &= \tau + \frac{1}{(4C'_{\text{data}})^2} \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{4C'_{\text{data}}} \geq 1,\\
\tau' &= \tau + \frac{1}{(4C'_{\text{data}})^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

By observing that

$$\|\widetilde{\ell}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq C'_\tau \overset{\text{def}}{=} C_\tau + C_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma}C_SC_{\mathcal{R}}\tau\sqrt{T-\tau}\|\bar{\sigma}^3\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau;E(\Omega))}$$

we obtain with an immediate induction that

$$\big\|\widetilde{\ell}_k\big\|_{W^{1,2}(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0))} \leq C'_\tau\sum_{m=0}^k\frac{1}{2^m} \leq 2C'_\tau \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

It follows that $\widetilde{v}_k$, $\dfrac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t^2}$, p_k, σ_k and $div(\sigma_k)$ are uniformly bounded in $W^{1,\infty}\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\cap W^{1,2}(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$, $L^2\big(0,\tau',\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$, $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$, $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';(L^2(\Omega)^{3\times 3}\big)$ and in $L^\infty\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ respectively.

Hence, possibly modifying $\widetilde{v}_k$ and $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}$ on a negligible subset of $[0,\tau']$, we have $\widetilde{v}_k \in C^0\big([0,\tau'];\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)$ and $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t} \in C^0\big([0,\tau'];\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$. Moreover

$$\widetilde{v}_k, \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \widetilde{v}_*, \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t} \qquad \begin{array}{l}\text{weakly star in } L^\infty\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)\\ \text{and weakly in } L^2(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div}),\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t^2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t^2} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2\big(0,\tau';\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big),$$

$$p_k \rightharpoonup p_* \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty\big(0,\tau';L^2_0(\Omega)\big),$$

and

$$\widetilde{\ell}_k \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\ell}_* \quad \text{weakly in } L^2\big(0,\tau';L^2(\Gamma_0)\big).$$

By using Aubin's and Simon's lemmas, and possibly extracting another subsequence, we have also

$$\widetilde{v}_k \to \widetilde{v}_* \quad \text{strongly in } L^2\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_0)\big),$$

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t} \to \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big), \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\widetilde{v}_k \to \widetilde{v}_* \quad \text{strongly in } \mathcal{C}^0\big([0,\tau'];\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big). \tag{4.8}$$

Furthermore, possibly modifying $\widetilde{v}_*$ and $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}$ on a negligible subset of $[0,\tau']$, we have $\widetilde{v}_* \in C^0\big([0,\tau'];\mathcal{V}_{0div}\big)$ and $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t} \in C^0\big([0,\tau'];\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big)$. By passing to the limit as k tends to $+\infty$ in (4.4)-(4.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_*,\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} - \big\langle \big(p_*, div(\varphi)\big),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')}\\
&+\int_0^{\tau'} a(\widetilde{v}_*,\varphi\chi)\,dt + \int_0^{\tau'}\int_{\Gamma_0} \ell_*\big(|\widetilde{v}_*+\varphi\chi| - |\widetilde{v}_*|\big)\,dx'dt\\
&\geq \big\langle (f,\varphi),\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} - \int_0^{\tau'} a(v^0\zeta,\varphi\chi)\,dt - \left\langle \left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and for all $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\tau')$, with

$$\widetilde{v}_*(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{v}^0 = 0.$$

It follows that $(\widetilde{v}_*, p_*)$ is the unique solution on $[0,\tau']$ of problem (P) with $\mathcal{F} = \ell_* = \mathcal{F}^0 + \widetilde{\ell}_*$ and $\sigma_* = -p_*\mathrm{Id} + 2\mu D(\widetilde{v}_* + v^0\zeta)$ satisfies $\sigma_* \in L^\infty\big(0,\tau';\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)^{3\times 3}\big)$. Moreover, with a straighforward adaptation of Proposition 1, we have also $div(\sigma_*) \in L^\infty\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$.

Let us prove now that

Lemma 4. *Under the previous assumptions*

$$\ell_*(x',t) = \mathcal{F}(x',t,\sigma_*) = \mathcal{F}^0(x',t) + \mathcal{F}^\sigma(x',t)\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_*^3(\cdot,s))(x')\big|\,ds$$

for almost every $x' \in \Gamma_0$ and for all $t \in [0,\tau']$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\big)^3$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\tau')$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_*,\varphi\right),\pm\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} + \int_0^{\tau'} a(\widetilde{v}_* + v^0\zeta, \pm\varphi\chi)\,dxdt \\
&-\big\langle\big(p_*, div(\varphi)\big),\pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} \\
&\geq \big\langle(f,\varphi),\pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} - \left\langle\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\pm\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Similarly, for all $k \geq 0$ we have also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\left(\widetilde{v}_k,\varphi\right),\pm\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} + \int_0^{\tau'} a(\widetilde{v}_k + v^0\zeta, \pm\varphi\chi)\,dxdt \\
&-\big\langle\big(p_k, div(\varphi)\big),\pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} \\
&\geq \big\langle(f,\varphi),\pm\chi\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')} - \left\langle\left(v^0\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t},\varphi\right),\pm\chi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,\tau'),\mathcal{D}(0,\tau')}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

By substracting (4.9) to (4.10) we obtain

$$\int_0^{\tau'}\int_\Omega \frac{\partial(\widetilde{v}_k - \widetilde{v}_*)}{\partial t}\cdot\varphi\chi\,dxdt + \int_0^{\tau'}\int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\sigma_{ijk} - \sigma_{ij*})\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial x_j}\chi\,dxdt = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\big\|div(\sigma_k - \sigma_*)\big\|_{L^2(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} = \left\|\frac{\partial(\widetilde{v}_k - \widetilde{v}_*)}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Since $\left(\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}\right)_{k\geq 0}$ converges strongly in $L^2\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ to $\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}$, we infer that $\big(div(\sigma_k)\big)_{k\geq 0}$ converges also strongly to $div(\sigma_*)$ in $L^2\big(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial\widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,\tau'), \\
&\big\|div(\sigma_k) - div(\sigma_*)\big\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,\tau'),
\end{aligned}$$

and we infer that, possibly extracting a subsequence still denoted $(\widetilde{v}_k, p_k)_{k\geq 0}$, there exists a negligible subset A of $(0,\tau')$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(t), div(\sigma_k)(t) \to \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}(t), div(\sigma_*)(t) \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega), \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus A. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, $(\widetilde{v}_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$ and by using Helly's theorem (see [25] for instance) we obtain that, possibly extracting another subsequence, still denoted $(\widetilde{v}_k, p_k)_{k\geq 0}$, we have

$$\widetilde{v}_k(t) \rightharpoonup \Lambda(t) \quad \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{V}_{0div}, \text{ for all } t \in [0,\tau'] \tag{4.12}$$

with $\Lambda \in BV(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{0div}$ and for all $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\tau')$ we have

$$\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t),\varphi\big)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\chi(t) \to \big(\Lambda(t),\varphi\big)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\chi(t) \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0,\tau']$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}$ denotes the inner product of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\big|\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t),\varphi\big)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\chi(t)\big| \leq \|\chi\|_{C^0([0,\tau'])}\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\|\widetilde{v}_k\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau';\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0,\tau'].$$

We may apply Lebesgue's dominated theorem and we get

$$\int_0^{\tau'} \big(\widetilde{v}_k(t),\varphi\big)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\chi(t)\,dt \to \int_0^{\tau'} \big(\Lambda(t),\varphi\big)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\chi(t)\,dt.$$

Owing that $(\widetilde{v}_k)_{k\geq 0}$ converges weakly to $\widetilde{v}_*$ in $L^2(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$, we infer that $\Lambda = \widetilde{v}_*$ in $L^2(0,\tau';\mathcal{V}_{0div})$, i.e.

$$\int_0^{\tau'} \big\|\Lambda(t) - \widetilde{v}_*(t)\big\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}\,dt = 0.$$

It follows that there exists another negligible subset A' of $(0,\tau')$ such that

$$\Lambda(t) = \widetilde{v}_*(t) \quad \text{ in } \mathcal{V}_{0div}, \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus A'. \tag{4.13}$$

Recalling that, $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}$ belongs to $C^0\big([0,\tau'],\big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big) \cap L^\infty\big(0,\tau',\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big)$ for all $k \geq 0$, we infer that $\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}$ is weakly continuous with values in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ on $[0,\tau']$ and

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq \left\|\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau';\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0,\tau']$$

(see lemma 1.4 page 263 in [32]). Now, let $k \geq 0$. For all $t \in [0,\tau']$ we define $f_k(t) \in \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$ by

$$\big\langle f_k(t),\varphi\big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} = \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(t) + v^0\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(t),\varphi\right) + a\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t) + v^0\zeta(t),\varphi\big)$$
$$-\big(f(t),\varphi\big) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega).$$

Then, consider now $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)$. With (4.4), we obtain that

$$\int_0^{\tau'} \big\langle f_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} \chi(t)\, dt = 0 \quad \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\tau').$$

So

$$\big\langle f_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,\tau')$$

and, using the continuity of the mapping $t \mapsto \big\langle f_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)}$ on $[0,\tau']$, we infer that the previous equality is valid for all $t \in [0,\tau']$. It follows that there exists a mapping $\widetilde{p}_k : [0,\tau'] \to L^2_0(\Omega)$ such that, for all $t \in [0,\tau']$

$$\big\langle f_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)} = \big\langle \nabla \widetilde{p}_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

But, for all $t \in [0,\tau']$, we have $\widetilde{p}_k(t) \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ and thus

$$\begin{array}{l} \big\langle \nabla \widetilde{p}_k(t), \varphi \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = -\big\langle \widetilde{p}_k(t), div(\varphi) \big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} \\ = -\big(\widetilde{p}_k(t), div(\varphi)\big) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \end{array}$$

It follows that, for all $t \in [0,\tau']$,

$$\begin{array}{l} -\big(\widetilde{p}_k(t), div(\varphi)\big) = \left(\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(t) + v^0 \dfrac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(t), \varphi\right) + a\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t) + v^0\zeta(t), \varphi\big) \\ -\big(f(t), \varphi\big) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \end{array}$$

and by density of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ into $\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)$, the same equality is valid for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)$. With the same arguments as in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we obtain also that $\widetilde{p}_k \in L^\infty\big(0,\tau'; L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$ and $p_k = \widetilde{p}_k$ in $L^\infty\big(0,\tau'; L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$. Thus possibly modifying p_k on a negligible subset of $(0,\tau')$ we have

$$\begin{array}{l} -\big(p_k(t), div(\varphi)\big) = \left(\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(t) + v^0 \dfrac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(t), \varphi\right) + a\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t) + v^0\zeta(t), \varphi\big) \\ -\big(f(t), \varphi\big) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega), \quad \forall t \in [0,\tau']. \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

Similarly, possibly modifying p_* on a negligible subset of $(0,\tau')$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} -\big(p_*(t), div(\varphi)\big) = \left(\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}(t) + v^0 \dfrac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(t), \varphi\right) + a\big(\widetilde{v}_*(t) + v^0\zeta(t), \varphi\big) \\ -\big(f(t), \varphi\big) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega), \quad \forall t \in [0,\tau']. \end{array} \tag{4.15}$$

Now let $\tilde{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $w \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ be given by

$$w = \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega \tilde{w}\, dx.$$

For all $k \geq 0$ and for all $t \in [0,\tau']$ we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \big(p_k(t) - p_*(t), \tilde{w}\big) = \big(p_k(t) - p_*(t), w\big) \\ = -\left(\dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_k}{\partial t}(\cdot,t) - \dfrac{\partial \widetilde{v}_*}{\partial t}(\cdot,t), P(w)\right) - a\big(\widetilde{v}_k(t) - \widetilde{v}_*(t), P(w)\big) \end{array}$$

where P is the linear continuous operator from $L^2_0(\Omega)$ to $\mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega)$ such that $div\big(P(w)\big) = w$ for all $w \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ ([18]). With (4.11) and (4.12)-(4.13) we get

$$\int_\Omega \big(p_k(t) - p_*(t)\big)\tilde{w}\, dx \to 0 \quad \text{for all } \tilde{w} \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus (A \cup A')$$

which implies that

$$p_k(t) \rightharpoonup p_*(t) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus (A \cup A'). \tag{4.16}$$

Then with (4.11), (4.12)-(4.13) and (4.16) we may conclude that

$$\sigma_k(t) \rightharpoonup \sigma_*(t) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathbf{E}(\Omega), \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus (A \cup A').$$

By using the definition of $\mathcal{R}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}\big(\sigma^3_k(\cdot,t)\big)(x') = \big\langle \gamma_n\big(\sigma^3_k(t)\big), f_{x'}\big\rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega),H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \to \mathcal{R}\big(\sigma^3_*(\cdot,t)\big)(x') \quad \text{for all } x' \in \Gamma_0, \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus (A \cup A'). \end{array}$$

Moreover, for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\big|\mathcal{R}\big(\sigma^3_k(\cdot,t)\big)(x')\big| \leq C_{\mathcal{R}}\big\|\sigma^3_k(\cdot,t)\big\|_{E(\Omega)} \leq C_{\mathcal{R}}\|\sigma^3_k\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}$$

for all $x' \in \Gamma_0$, for almost every $t \in [0,\tau']$, i.e. there exists a negligible subset A_k of $(0,\tau')$ such that

$$\big|\mathcal{R}\big(\sigma^3_k(\cdot,t)\big)(x')\big| \leq C_{\mathcal{R}}\big\|\sigma^3_k(\cdot,t)\big\|_{E(\Omega)} \leq C_{\mathcal{R}}\|\sigma^3_k\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau';E(\Omega))}$$

for all $x' \in \Gamma_0$, for all $t \in (0,\tau') \setminus A_k$. Let $A'' = A \cup A' \cup \big(\cup_{k\geq 0} A_k\big)$. By applying Lebesgue's dominated theorem, we get

$$\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_k)(\cdot,t)\big| \to \big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_*)(\cdot,t)\big| \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Gamma_0), \text{ for all } t \in (0,\tau') \setminus A''$$

Thus, observing that A'' is also a negligible subset of $(0,\tau')$, we may apply twice Lebesgue's dominated theorem and we get

$$\int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_k)(\cdot,s)\big|\, ds \to \int_0^t S(t-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_*)(\cdot,s)\big|\, ds$$
$$\text{strongly in } L^2(\Gamma_0), \text{ for all } t \in [0,\tau']$$

and

$$\int_0^\star S(\star-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_k)(\cdot,s)\big|\, ds \to \int_0^\star S(\star-s)\big|\mathcal{R}(\sigma^3_*)(\cdot,s)\big|$$
$$\text{strongly in } L^2\big(0,\tau',L^2(\Gamma_0)\big)$$

which allows us to conclude.

□

Gathering all the previous results we have obtained that $(\widetilde{v}_*, p_*)$ is a solution of problem (P) on $[0, \tau']$ and $(\widetilde{v}_*, p_*)$ is an extension of $(\bar{v}, \bar{p})$ to $[\tau, \tau']$. Indeed, $\ell_k = \ell_0 = \mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \bar{\sigma})$ on $(0, \tau)$ for all $k \geq 0$, thus $\ell_* = \mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \bar{\sigma})$ on $(0, \tau)$. Moreover $\tau' - \tau$ is independent of τ. Thus, starting from $\tau = 0$, we may conclude with a finite induction argument that

Theorem 3. *Let assumptions (2.2)-(3.18)-(3.19)-(3.20)-(4.2)-(4.3) hold. Then, the non-local friction problem (P) admits a solution $(\widetilde{v}, p)$ such that*

$$\widetilde{v} \in W^{1,\infty}\big(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)\big) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathcal{V}_{0div}), \quad p \in L^\infty\big(0, T; L^2_0(\Omega)\big)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{v}}{\partial t^2} \in L^2\big(0, T; \big(\mathbf{H}^1_{0div}(\Omega)\big)'\big).$$

References

[1] J. Barrat, L. Bocquet, *Large slip effect at a nonwetting fluid-solid interface.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 820(23) (1999) 4671–4674.

[2] J. Baudry, E. Charlaix, A. Tonck, D. Mazuyer, *Experimental evidence for a large slip effect at a nonwetting fluid-solid interface.* Langmuir, 17(17) (2001) 5232–5236.

[3] E. Bonaccurso, H. Butt, V. Craig, *Surface roughness and hydrodynamic boundary slip of a Newtonian fluid in a completely wetting system.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 90(14) (2003) 144501.

[4] J. Brezina, *Asymptotic properties of solutions to the equations of incompressible fluid mechanics.* J. Math. Fluid Mech., 12 (2010) 536–553.

[5] D. Bucur, E. Feireisl, S. Necasova, *Influence of wall roughness on the slip behaviour of viscous fluids.* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 138 (2008) 957–973.

[6] D. Bucur, E. Feireisl, S. Necasova, *On the asymptotic limit of flows past a ribbed boundary.* J. Math. Fluid Mech., 10(4) (2008) 554–568.

[7] D. Bucur, E. Feireisl, S. Necasova, J. Wolf, *On the asymptotic limit of the Navier-Stokes system on domains with rough boundaries.* J. Differ. Equ., 244(11) (2008) 2890–2908.

[8] E.A. Coddington, N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, Mc Graw-Hill, New-York, 1955.

[9] L. Consiglieri, *Existence for a class of non-Newtonian fluids with a nonlocal friction boundary condition.* Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Series, 22(2) (2006) 523–534.

[10] C.A. Coulomb, Théorie des machines simples, Bachelier, Paris, 1821.

[11] L. Demkowicz, J.T. Oden, *On some existence and uniqueness results in contact problems with nonlocal friction.* Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 6(10) (1982) 1075–1093.

[12] G. Duvaut, *Équilibre d'un solide élastique avec contact unilatéral et frottement de Coulomb.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t 290 (1980) 263–265.

[13] G. Duvaut, *Loi de frottement non locale.* Journal de Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée, Numéro spécial (1982) 73–78.

[14] H. Fujita, *Flow Problems with Unilateral Boundary Conditions.* Leçons, Collège de France (1993).

[15] H. Fujita, *A mathematical analysis of motions of viscous incompressible fluid under leak or slip boundary conditions.* Math. Fluid Mech. Model., 888 (1994) 199–216.

[16] H. Fujita, *Non-stationary Stokes flows under leak boundary conditions of friction type.* J. Comput. Math., 19 (2001) 1–8.

[17] H. Fujita, *A coherent analysis of Stokes flows under boundary conditions of friction type.* J. Comput. Appl. Math., 149 (2002) 57–69.

[18] V. Girault and P.A. Raviart, Finite Element Approximation of the Navier-Stokes Equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[19] J. Haslinger, J. Stebel and T. Sassi, *Shape optimization for Stokes problem with threshold slip.* Appl. Mathematics, 59(6) (2014) 631–652.

[20] H. Hervet, L. Léger, *Flow with slip at the wall: from simple to complex fluids*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Physique 4 (2003) 241–249.

[21] I.R. Ionescu, M. Sofonea, *The blocking property in the study of Bingham fluids*, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 24(3) (1986) 289–297.

[22] V.A. Kondrat'ev, O.A. Oleinik, *Boundary-value problems for the system of elasticity theory in unbounded domains. Korn's inequailities.* Russian Math. Surveys, 43(5) (1988) 65–119.

[23] C. Navier, *Mémoire sur les lois du mouvement des fluides.* Mém. Acad. Royal Soc., 6 (1823) 389–416.

[24] A. Magnin, J.M. Piau, *Shear rheometry of fluids with a yield stress.* J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech., 23 (1987) 91–106.

[25] M. Monteiro Marques, Differential inclusions in non-smooth mechanical problems: shocks and dry friction, Birkhauser, Boston, Berlin, 1993.

[26] S. Richardson, *On the no-slip boundary condition.* J. Fluid Mech. 59 (1973) 707–719.

[27] R.T. Rockafellar, Convex analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.

[28] T. Roubicek, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations with Applications. Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2005.

[29] N. Saito, H. Fujita, *Regularity of solutions to the Stokes equation under a certain nonlinear boundary condition.* The Navier-Stokes equations, Lecture Note Pure Appl. Math., 223 (2001) 73–86.

[30] N. Saito, *On the Stokes equations with the leak and slip boundary conditions of friction type: regularity of solutions.* Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ., 40 (2004) 345–383.

[31] M. Sofonea, A. Farcas, *Analysis of a History-Dependent Frictional Contact Problem.* Appl. Anal. 93 (2014), no.2, 428–444.

[32] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New-York, Oxford, 1984.

[33] D. Tretheway, C. Meinhart, *Apparent fluid slip at hydrophobic microchannel walls.* Phys. Fluids, 14 (2002) L9.

[34] Y. Zhu, S. Granick, *Limits of the hydrodynamic no-slip boundary condition.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 88(10) (2002) 106102.

[35] Y. Zhu, S. Granick, *No-slip boundary condition switches to partial slip when fluid contains surfactant.* Langmuir, 18(26) (2002) 10058–10063.